

The Interstellar Environment of Filled-Center Supernova Remnants III: The Crab Nebula

B.J. Wallace

T.L. Landecker

Dominion Radio Astrophysical Observatory, Herzberg Institute of Astrophysics, National Research Council of Canada

P.M.W. Kalberla

Radioastronomisches Institut der Universität Bonn

and

A. R. Taylor

Dept. Physics & Astronomy, University of Calgary

ABSTRACT

The H I environment of the Crab Nebula is investigated using 2.75' and 9' resolution data from (respectively) the DRAO Synthesis, and Effelsberg 100 m, radio telescopes. No clear evidence for an interaction between the Crab and the surrounding H I is found; the Crab probably lies within the boundaries of a large scale, low-density void in the H I distribution. The presence of a wind-blown H I bubble near the Crab is confirmed, and it is suggested that the unidentified star which powers this bubble is responsible for the stellar wind material detected along the line of sight towards the Crab.

Subject headings: supernova remnants — ISM: individual (Crab Nebula) — ISM: bubbles — ISM: general — radio lines: ISM

1. Introduction

The Crab Nebula is the prototype of a small subclass of supernova remnants (SNRs) known as either “Crab-like”, “Filled-Center” or “plerionic” SNRs. SNRs which fall into this class have centrally brightened radio morphologies, flat radio-spectral indices, high levels of linear polarization, and lack an associated limb-brightened shell.

It is not clear why Filled-Center (FC) SNRs do not have associated limb-brightened shells. The cause could be intrinsic, i.e. there is a fundamental physical difference between FC and other SNRs, or extrinsic, i.e. the lack of a shell is due to an environmental effect. The extrinsic explanation was put forward by Chevalier (1977), who hypothesized that the Crab Nebula (and, by extension, all other FC SNRs) lie in low-density regions of the ISM. In Chevalier’s scenario the supernovae which produce FC SNRs are no different than other explosions which produce neutron stars. Such explosions cast off the outer envelope of the progenitor star at $\sim 10^4$ km/s, and the interaction between the resulting blast wave and the ISM results in the typical SNR shell. Assuming that the strength of the emission from the shell is related to the density of the ISM, the interaction between the fast moving ejecta and a sufficiently low-density ISM might result in emission well below present detection limits. FC SNRs would then be the result of SN explosions which occur in low-density environments.

This paper is the third in a series in which the ISM around FC SNRs is probed to test Chevalier’s hypothesis. The first two papers (Wallace et al, 1997a, 1997b) have suggested that, contrary to expectations, at least two FC SNRs (G74.9+1.2, G63.7+1.1) do *not* lie in low-density regions of the ISM. Instead, clear indication is seen for interaction between the SNRs and their surrounding ISM. These results stand in contrast to previous investigations of the ISM around the Crab Nebula itself (Romani et al, 1990; Wallace et al, 1994) which suggest that the Crab *does* lie in a low-density region of the ISM. However, interactions such as those between G74.9+1.2 and G63.7+1.1 and their surroundings would have been missed at the low resolution used for the existing studies of the Crab (36’); higher resolution observations are thus required for definitive statements regarding the environment of the Crab Nebula.

In this paper we map the H I distribution around the Crab Nebula at the highest resolution yet attempted. In our earlier paper (Wallace et al. 1994; henceforth WLT94) we suggest that the Crab Nebula lies within a low-density void which we referred to as “bubble 2;” in this paper we use the Effelsberg 100-m radio telescope to image this feature at 9’ resolution. In addition, the Dominion Radio Astrophysical Observatory (DRAO) Synthesis Telescope is used to image the H I environment immediately around the Crab at $1' \times 3'$ resolution. The primary purpose of these data is to determine whether the Crab Nebula lies in a low-density void in the ISM.

We also use these data to elaborate on the nature of the optical emission detected by Murdin (1994) and Fesen et al. (1997). Murdin (1994) reports detecting faint $H\beta$ emission which he ascribes to the remnant stellar wind from the Crab’s progenitor. Fesen et al. (1997) show that this emission is more widely spread than Murdin reported, suggesting

that the material is not associated with the Crab. We discuss here whether this material is associated with the wind from an O star lying in the direction of the Crab.

We discuss these observations and present the data in Section 2. In Section 3 we interpret the data with respect to the Crab and a nearby O star, and summarize the paper in Section 4.

2. Observations and Results

Figure 1 reproduces images from WLT94, showing H I emission around the Crab Nebula with an angular resolution of $36'$. In that paper, three approximately circular “bubbles” were identified in the H I distribution. The boundaries of these features are overlaid on the images in Figure 1: the larger bubble was denoted “bubble 1” and the middle one “bubble 2.” WLT94 associated the smallest bubble, which we will refer to here as “bubble 3,” with the O-star SAO77293; this association is re-assessed below. We have also indicated the positions of the Crab Nebula and SAO77293 with asterisks in Figure 1 (the lower asterisk denotes the position of SAO77293).

2.1. Effelsberg Observations

The Effelsberg data image a 4.5° square region centered on “bubble 2”, indicated by the white box in Figure 1. Details of the observations can be found in Table 1. The data were taken during the winter of 1993/1994, during periods of weather too poor for observations at higher frequencies; the resulting data are thus a patchwork of several observations. Individual spectra were baseline corrected using a third order polynomial and were adjusted for stray radiation (Kalberla et al., 1982) before conversion to channel maps. Baselines were determined using data between -170 and -70 km/s and 50 to 130 km/s.

Due to the strong absorption of the Crab Nebula, the baseline fitting algorithm failed for spectra in a $\sim 25'$ region on and immediately around the Crab Nebula, rendering the data in that area inadequate for addition to the DRAO data (see below). The data for this region were excised and replaced with values estimated from the surrounding emission. The estimated values were derived by fitting a “twisted-plane” (a plane which varies linearly in intensity along any cut in “x” or “y”) to the emission immediately around the excised region. The Effelsberg data are intended to image the large-scale structure around the Crab, and this process does not affect our interpretation of these structures.

The final channel maps include a small amount of striping, a result of calibration

differences in data collected at different epochs. Some channels also have pixels with unusually high values compared to adjacent pixels; these defects pose no problems to the present work and have been left in the data. The r.m.s. noise in the maps is 0.25 K.

Plots of the Effelsberg data are presented in Fig. 2. There is a significant gradient in the level of emission across the field of view, so a twisted-plane background has been fit to edges of the field and removed from each channel for presentation purposes. The lack of velocity dispersion towards the Galactic anti-center implies that H I associated with the Crab Nebula may be found in almost any velocity channel, and we present a wide range of velocity channels for the sake of completeness. Nevertheless, we discuss only those features which we consider to be in the vicinity of the Crab Nebula and the O star which lies near it in the sky.

2.2. DRAO Synthesis Telescope Observations

Two overlapping fields were observed using the DRAO Synthesis telescope (Roger et al., 1973; Veidt et al., 1985). One field is centered on the position of the Crab, while the second is centered approximately 1° to the southeast near the center of a H I bubble which overlaps the position of the Crab Nebula. The details of these observations are presented in Table 2.

The continuum contribution to the data was estimated by averaging data between 22.8 and 35.0 km/s, and between -32.6 and -57.4 km/s to create two end-channel continuum maps. The strong emission from the Crab Nebula, coupled with non-linearities in the observing system (which are not important when observing weaker sources), result in artefacts which vary with velocity from map to map. Individual continuum maps were created for each channel by linearly interpolating between the two extreme continuum maps in order to subtract the most reasonable estimate of the continuum emission (complete with artefacts) from each channel map. The interpolated channel maps were scaled by the Crab Nebula’s integrated absorption in each channel before subtraction to avoid over-subtraction of artefacts related to the SNR in channels where the Crab Nebula is strongly absorbed.

The resulting maps reveal a large amount of H I structure, but imaging artefacts remain. In particular, the field centered on the Crab Nebula has noticeable residual rings centered on the SNR. Greisen (1973) showed that H I absorption is not constant across the face of the Nebula, and that the absorption varies with velocity. The continuum subtraction process works correctly only if the absorption across the face of the nebula is constant and as a result this process improperly subtracts the continuum component

(and, more importantly, associated artefacts) from our data. An attempt was made to remove the effects of this non-uniform absorption by CLEANing both the original and continuum-subtracted maps, but there was only limited improvement over the uncleaned maps, possibly because of non-linearities in the receiver system. Nevertheless, the data used here for the field centered on the Crab have been CLEANed. No CLEANing was necessary for data from the southern field; the residual grating rings in this field are below the noise in the map, because primary beam attenuation of the Crab’s emission has reduced the effects of the non-uniform absorption across the Crab.

The DRAO Synthesis Telescope is not sensitive to structures on size scales greater than $\sim 1^\circ$ at 21cm. To portray the full range of H I structure it is necessary to add single-antenna information, in this case the data from the Effelsberg radio telescope presented above, to the DRAO data. The short and long-spacing datasets were filtered in complementary fashion in the uv-plane and, after correction for the primary beams of the two instruments, added in the image plane. The results of this process are entirely trustworthy for regions $> 25'$ from the Crab Nebula. For regions closer to the Crab the absolute flux may be affected by the interpolation of the Effelsberg data in this region, discussed above, but the morphology of the small scale emission is trustworthy. The DRAO data (without short-spacings added) were consulted when interpreting emission in the region immediately around the Crab Nebula.

After the short spacing data had been added to each of the DRAO fields, the data were re-projected to a common grid and mosaiced to form the final data cube. The noise level near the center of the southern field is 0.9 K. The residual rings seen in the northern field are up to 20 K in magnitude and decrease in strength with distance from the Crab.

The final DRAO image data are presented in Fig. 3. A constant background, determined from the average level of emission near the center of the observed region, has been removed from each channel for presentation purposes.

3. Discussion

3.1. Does the Crab Nebula lie in a void?

Based on their low resolution H I maps, WLT94 conclude that the Crab Nebula lies in a low-density void which they label “bubble 2.” The higher resolution images presented here allow us to re-examine this conclusion and study in more detail the environment of the Crab Nebula.

The lack of velocity dispersion in the Galactic anti-center direction makes assigning a systemic velocity to the Crab Nebula a difficult task. The velocity crowding has the effect that even small non-circular motions in the gas in front of the Crab could give rise to absorption at velocities unrelated to the SNRs kinematic distance.

In Figure 4 we present an absorption spectrum for the Crab Nebula derived from the DRAO field centered on the Crab. For comparison we include an emission spectrum obtained from the combined Effelsberg and DRAO data for this same field. The emission spectrum was derived for an elliptical annulus around the Crab, the inner edge having major and minor axes of $32'$ and $16'$ respectively, and the outer edge have major and minor axes of $42'$ and $26'$; the major axis of the ellipse is oriented along the declination axis. The inner edge of the ellipse was chosen to avoid contamination from the Crab Nebula and artefacts associated with it, while the choice of outer edge was arbitrary. The large size of the annulus will undoubtedly allow contamination of the emission spectrum from gas some distance away from the Crab, and will thus potentially over-estimate the emission at the position of the Crab itself.

The emission spectrum shows a number of features. There are two, strong, emission peaks centered near 4 and -11 km/s, a weak plateau near -22 km/s, and a faint tail which reaches zero near -52 km/s (the velocity at which the emission reaches zero may be affected by our choice of channels used to create the end-channel continuum map at the negative end of the spectrum). The absorption spectrum reveals two major features, one centered near 11 km/s and one centered near 3 km/s. Both absorption features lie within the velocity range covered by emission associated with the feature at 4 km/s. There is no strong absorption associated with the emission feature centered near -11 km/s. There is some indication of a slight slope to the baseline of our absorption spectrum, and we are unable to comment on the weak absorption measured by Hughes et al. (1971).

Both Greisen (1973) and Radhakrishnan et al. (1972) fit the absorption to the Crab with multiple gaussian components but neither study attempted to fit the weakest absorption. Radhakrishnan et al. (1972) fit the last component at -1.5 km/s, while Greisen fits one at ~ -8 km/s, but weak H I absorption of the Crab's continuum emission persists to very high negative velocities (e.g. Hughes et al., 1971). The component at -8 km/s fit by Greisen appears to be an attempt to account for this weak absorption, and for the non-gaussian shape of the deep absorption feature centered at ~ 3 km/s. An absorption spectrum derived from our DRAO data shows that, at -8 km/s, the absorption has declined smoothly to less than 1% of the continuum level; we do not feel that this absorption is significant.

Inspection of Figure 3 reveals that the gas giving rise to the absorption peak centered

at ~ 3 km/s is part of the bright western rim of bubble 2, which extends in velocity to ~ 1 km/s. The Crab Nebula must lie behind this gas. At -7 km/s a bar of emission crosses the position of the Crab; there is no indication of absorption of the Crab’s emission at this velocity, and this gas must lie behind the Crab. These arguments allow us to place the Crab between these two features in velocity, and the systemic velocity of the Crab must therefore lie in the range 1 to -7 km/s.

In the following discussion, velocities quoted for various features are those derived from the data at full resolution. Figures 2 and 3 present images at a velocity separation of 2 km/s and maps at the exact velocities quoted in the text may not appear. All features can, however, be seen in the data presented.

In Figure 1, bubble 2 is seen from $v_{\text{LSR}} = 5.8$ km/s to $v_{\text{LSR}} = -17.2$ km/s, is roughly 3.8° in diameter, and is centered at $\alpha = 5^{\text{h}}37^{\text{m}}36^{\text{s}}$, $\delta = 21^\circ47'44''$. In the Effelsberg data bubble 2 is seen very clearly at -3.3 km/s and -4.6 km/s, centered near $\alpha \sim 5^{\text{h}}38^{\text{m}}20^{\text{s}}$, $\delta \sim 21^\circ50'$, and has a diameter of $\sim 3^\circ$. Although the structure is somewhat obscured by confusion, the diameter clearly decreases towards more positive velocities. It is first seen as a very faint shell at 7.8 km/s, and grows in prominence as velocity decreases. At 5.3 km/s a prominent arc of emission defines the western edge of the bubble and at 1.2 km/s there is a prominent arc to the east. The western arc disappears by -0.5 km/s at which point the bubble is defined largely by the semi-circular bay to the west. The eastern edge merges with the bright emission to the NE at -2.1 km/s and a faint arc to the south of the field bounds the structure in that direction. At -3.8 km/s the void is at its maximum size and by -6.2 km/s is no longer recognizable in the Effelsberg data. At more negative velocities, the H I peak located near the geometrical center of bubble 2 at -6.2 km/s eventually grows into a ridge which defines one edge of the H I bubble centered at $v_{\text{LSR}} = -12$ km/s.

Note that the uneven H I shell that bounds bubble 2 at -7.3 km/s in WLT94 is not seen in the Effelsberg data. If the shell exists at and beyond this velocity, it lies just at the edge of the field of view. For the purposes of this paper we use the velocity width derived from the Effelsberg data, 7.0 to -6.0 km/s.

We can identify bubble 2 over a velocity range of 13 km/s, roughly twice the width of the average ISM feature. Furthermore, bubble 2 changes its diameter systematically with velocity. We conclude that the bubble is expanding (or contracting) and that its width in velocity is not simply a product of turbulent motion. We take the expansion velocity to be ~ 6.5 km/s. The average brightness temperature of the shell (above the background) is 3.8 ± 0.6 K, and the mass of the shell is $4 \times 10^4 M_\odot$ (assuming helium is 1/10 as abundant as hydrogen by number and that the H I shell is at the same distance as the Crab Nebula (2 kpc)). The energy in the shell is $\sim 2 \times 10^{49}$ ergs and the kinematic age is 8×10^6 yrs.

The Effelsberg data show that there is H I structure projected inside bubble 2 in the range of systemic velocities for the Crab. The resolution of the Effelsberg data is insufficient to investigate the immediate surroundings of the Crab, however. For this reason the DRAO H I data in this velocity range were examined for evidence of either a void or an interaction; these data are discussed below.

At $v = 3.7$ km/s in Figure 3 the Crab Nebula is seen near the inner edge of the bright western rim of bubble 2. As velocity decreases the rim becomes less prominent, but H I emission still remains around the position of the Crab. From $v \sim 3$ to $v \sim -5.5$ km/s there appears to be very little H I immediately around the position of the Crab, but by $v = -7.0$ km/s the bar of emission which defines the SW edge of the bubble centered at $v_{LSR} = -12$ km/s has crossed the position of the Crab.

The absorption in this range is quite weak so it is difficult to decide whether the emitting material from $v \sim -3$ to $v \sim -5.5$ km/s is in front of, behind, or surrounding the Crab Nebula. If this (or other) material were actually surrounding the Crab one might expect to see evidence of an interaction (e.g. a swept-up shell). The next question is whether there is any evidence of an interaction between the Crab and the H I. There are in fact two possibilities, based largely upon positional coincidences between the H I structures and the Crab.

The first appears at $v = -7.0$ km/s. At this velocity there is some H I emission, in a rough semi-circular arc, to the NW of the SNR. By $v = -9.5$ km/s this arc has encircled the entire top of the SNR, with a small intensity depression just above the position of the Crab mirroring an intensity peak just below the Crab. Errors in the phase calibration of radio interferometer data often take the form of asymmetric artefacts in the resulting images, and the peak and depression are, in our opinion, residual mapping and calibration artefacts. The emission to the NW is the brightest, and by $v = -12.0$ km/s the emission to the NE has disappeared. The emission immediately around the Crab has disappeared totally by $v = -16.1$ km/s.

The other indication of a possible interaction is first seen immediately to the east of the Crab at $v = -10.4$ km/s. It is manifested as a thin arc of emission which appears to encompass the entire eastern edge of the SNR out to $v = -15.3$ km/s. The structure lies within and along the contours of the Crab Nebula, and may be an artefact caused by imperfect removal of the Crab’s continuum emission, possibly due to the changing absorption across the face of the Crab.

We conclude that there is no strong evidence of an interaction between the Crab Nebula and any H I in its vicinity, and confirm the conclusions of WLT94 – that the Crab Nebula

lies in the low-density region identified as “bubble 2.” The features which may be taken as evidence for an interaction between the Crab Nebula and its surroundings are explained as simple map artefacts resulting from the extreme brightness of the Crab Nebula. This does not mean that there is no interaction, only that the effects of any such interaction are below the level of the artefacts in the present data. Further observations, capable of dynamic range greater than 400:1 which we have achieved, are required to settle this question.

3.2. A remnant stellar wind?

Murdin (1994) reports $H\beta$ and optical continuum observations which he interprets as revealing an extended halo of stellar wind material around the Crab Nebula. He attributes this wind material to the Crab Nebula’s progenitor. Fesen et al. (1997) shows that the $H\beta$ emitting material is more widely distributed than Murdin (1994) reports, suggesting that this material is unrelated to the Crab. In this section we show that a stellar wind bubble exists along the line of sight towards the Crab, and hypothesize that the emitting material found by Murdin (1994) and Fesen et al. (1997) is associated with this bubble and not the Crab Nebula.

The new observations confirm the interpretation of WLT94 that there is an expanding H I shell, which bounds the feature we will call “bubble 3,” centered to the south of the Crab Nebula, but show that this bubble is *not* powered by the O7.5 star SAO77293 as suggested in that paper. The feature which we interpret as this shell is clearly seen in both the Effelsberg and DRAO H I data (Figures 2 and 3). There are two parts to the shell. From -6 to -12 km/s the apparent radius increases from almost zero to $50'$. Between -12 and -14 km/s the radius suddenly increases to $60'$ and continues to increase to a velocity of -25 km/s, where the shell fades from view having a radius of $75'$. This suggests an expanding shell in two parts with a systemic velocity near -12 km/s, with the red-shifted part expanding into a more dense medium while the blue-shifted part expands into a less dense region.

The brightest portion of the H I shell is its NW sector, where it joins a complex of bright emission running to the NW between -15 and -25 km/s (it should be noted that this area includes the position of the Crab Nebula, and is the area where the Effelsberg data were interpolated). It is unclear whether the northern bright emission is physically associated with the shell or whether it is an unrelated result of velocity crowding. We therefore make two calculations of the H I mass, one including the bright emission and one avoiding it. The calculations are made by integrating the flux within a polygon around the shell and subtracting a baseline determined by fitting a twisted plane to the vertices of the

polygon. Errors are estimated by making several measurements using different polygons and taking the standard deviation of the resulting values. Including the bright emission to the north, the average brightness temperature over the velocity range -6 to -26 km/s is $T_B = 4.9 \pm 0.4$ K; excluding it yields $T_B = 3.5 \pm 0.4$ K. Using these estimates the mass of the H I shell is $(8.7 \pm 0.6) \times 10^3 M_\odot$ and $(4.1 \pm 0.6) \times 10^3 M_\odot$, respectively.

A stellar wind bubble in a homogeneous medium with number density n_o (Weaver et al., 1977) expands such that its radius, r_b , at a given time is

$$r_b = 0.763 \left(\frac{\dot{E}}{1.4 m_h n_o} \right)^{1/5} t^{3/5} \quad (1)$$

where $\dot{E}$ is the mechanical luminosity of the wind ($= 1/2 \dot{M} v^2$), m_h is the mass of a hydrogen atom, and t is the age of the bubble. The bubble expansion velocity, v_b , is found by taking the time derivative of its radius, giving

$$v_b = 0.6 r_b / t. \quad (2)$$

We can estimate the mechanical luminosity of the stellar wind by first estimating the size, age and pre-swept density of the bubble. As noted earlier, bubble 3 has two distinct size scales; we attribute this to a “blow-out” of the bubble into a lower density region. Using the size scale of the smaller region ($50' = 29$ pc at 2 kpc), and taking the expansion velocity of the bubble to be 11 km/s (half of its velocity width), we use Equation 2 to estimate the age of the bubble as 1.5×10^6 years. Finally, if we assume that the entire mass of H I was evenly distributed in a volume corresponding to the volume of the smaller shell, the undisturbed ISM density was between ~ 1.6 and 3.5 cm^{-3} , for the lower and higher mass respectively. Substituting these values into Equation 1 we estimate the mechanical luminosity of the powering wind to be $\sim 10^{36}$ erg/s, suggesting that an O6 ($L_w \sim 1.8 \times 10^{36}$ erg/s) or O7 ($L_w \sim 6.8 \times 10^{35}$ erg/s) type star is at the heart of the bubble (assuming the empirical relationships for mass loss rate and terminal velocity derived by Howarth and Prinja, 1989).

The kinetic energy of the H I shell is $4.5 - 7.8 \times 10^{48}$ ergs, much less than $\sim 1.4 \times 10^{50}$ ergs that an O6 star would inject into the ISM over its main-sequence lifetime of $\sim 4.2 \times 10^6$ years. The amount of energy lost through recombination of the material in the swept-up shell can be estimated as the number of hydrogen atoms in the shell times the ionization potential; this comes to $\sim 10^{50}$ ergs given the mass estimates above. For the parameters derived or estimated here, we find that the ionization front associated with an O6 or O7 star would become trapped after $\sim 2 - 4 \times 10^6$ years, depending on the pre-swept density of the ISM; this is consistent with the estimated age of the H I bubble. These results indicate that the H I shell is due to a trapped ionization front associated with the stellar wind bubble.

The only known O-star along the line of sight to the H I bubble is SAO77293, which WLT94 associate with the H I bubble. This star, at an estimated distance of 1.8 kpc, is of type O7.5III. Despite the rough agreement in stellar type with our estimates above, and distance to the bubble, we suggest that SAO77293 is *not* associated with bubble 3. Christy (1977) measured an absorption feature due to the interstellar Ca II K line in the spectrum of SAO77293 at a velocity of -11 ± 3 km/s, placing the star behind the gas at this velocity. Our H I maps show a region of bright emission, at the position of SAO77293, from 1 to ~ -13 km/s; we associate the gas giving rise to the Ca II K-line absorption with this complex of H I. The emission at these velocities is part of the red-shifted portion of the H I shell, and if the H I shell is expanding and not contracting, SAO77293 must be located on the far-side of the H I bubble in order for the Ca II K line absorption to exist. If SAO77293 were to lie within the gas producing the Ca II K line absorption, the radiation from the star would ionize the surrounding gas; since we see no evidence for a hole in the H I distribution at these velocities we rule out this possibility. Finally, if the star were to lie at a systemic velocity at -14 km/s or beyond, it would clearly lie within the confines of the blue-shifted, blow-out, region of bubble 3, and would be in front of the gas giving rise to the Ca II K line absorption. This means that SAO77293 must be behind the H I bubble and cannot be powering it, and we conclude that the star which powers the H I bubble has yet to be identified. We are then left with the unanswered question, why has that star not been detected?

The Crab lies projected on the edge of the H I shell defining the red-shifted portion of bubble 3, and within the projected confines of the blue-shifted portion. The stellar wind material within the H I shell is likely ionized and can give rise to $H\beta$ emission. In addition, if the gas in the H I shell is not fully recombined we can expect some additional $H\beta$ emission from the shell itself. We thus suggest that the $H\beta$ emission detected by Murdin (1994) and Fesen et al. (1997) is unrelated to the Crab Nebula and is, instead, due to material associated with the (as yet unidentified) star which is powering bubble 3.

4. Summary

In this paper we have presented maps of the H I environment of the Crab Nebula obtained using the DRAO ST and the Effelsberg 100 m Radio Telescopes. The Crab Nebula lies within the physical confines of the H I structure which we call bubble 2. This association is based upon the observation that the central velocity of the H I bubble lies within the range of possible systemic velocities of the Crab Nebula. A few maps appear to show interaction, but these features are interpreted as artefacts resulting from the extreme

brightness of the Crab Nebula.

We also confirm the presence of an expanding H I bubble (labelled “bubble 3”) along the line of sight to the Crab Nebula. The emission from the Crab is not absorbed by the H I shell associated with the bubble; bubble 3 must therefore lie behind the Crab. We conclude that an association between bubble 3 and the nearby O-star SAO77293 is unlikely, and that bubble 3 lies in front of this star. It is suggested that emission from material associated with bubble 3 has been detected by Murdin (1994) and Fesen et al. (1997).

5. Acknowledgements

The Dominion Radio Astrophysical Observatory is operated as a national facility by the National Research Council of Canada. This research was supported in part by a grant from the Natural Sciences and Engineering Council of Canada.

REFERENCES

- Chevalier, R.A., 1977, In *Supernovae*. D.N. Schramm (ed.), Dordrecht: Reidel, 53
- Christy, J.W., 1977, *ApJ*, 217, 127
- Fesen, R.A., Shull, J.M., Hurford, A.P., 1997, *AJ*, 113, 354
- Greisen, E.W., 1973, *ApJ*, 184, 379
- Howarth I.D., Prinja, R.K., 1989, *ApJS*, 69, 527
- Hughes, M.P., Thompson, A.R., Colvin, R.S., 1971, *ApJS*, 23, 323
- Kalberla, P.M.W., Mebold, U., Reif, K., 1982, *A&A*, 106, 190
- Murdin, P., 1994, *MNRAS*, 269, 89
- Radhakrishnan, V., Goss, W.M., Murray, J.D., Brooks, J.W., 1972, *ApJS*, 24, 49
- Roger, R.S., Costain, C.H., Lacey, J.D., Landecker, T.L., Bowers, F.K., 1973, *Proc. IEEE*, 61, 1270
- Romani, R.W., Reach, W.T., Koo, B.C., and Heiles, C., 1990, *ApJ*, 349, L51
- Trimble, V., 1968, *AJ*, 73, 535

- Veidt, B.G., Landecker, T.L., Vaneldik, J.F., Dewdney, P.E., Routledge, D., 1985, *Radio Sci.*, 20, 1118
- Wallace, B.J., Landecker, T.L., Taylor, A.R., 1994, *A&A*, 286, 565
- Wallace B.J., Landecker T.L., Taylor A.R., Pineault S., 1997a, *A&A*, 317, 212
- Wallace B.J., Landecker T.L., Taylor A.R., 1997b, *AJ*, 114, 2068
- Weaver, R., McCray, R., Castor, J., Shapiro, P., Moore, R., 1977, *ApJ*, 218, 377

Fig. 1.— Low-resolution, wide-field image of the H I distribution around the Crab Nebula from WLT94. A twisted-plane background has been removed from each frame for presentation purposes. The large, medium, and small circles denote the boundaries of the features “bubble 1,” “bubble 2” and “bubble 3.”. The upper asterisk marks the position of the Crab Nebula, while the lower marks the position of SAO77293. The white box outlines the approximate area covered by the Effelsberg 100-m radio telescope presented in this paper. The greyscale runs from -10 to 10 K, with contours every 3 K. More details on these data can be found in WLT94.

Fig. 2.— The Effelsberg H I data for the region around the Crab Nebula are shown in greyscale with overlaid contours; the contours and greyscale limits are different for each page and are given below. The position of the Crab Nebula (upper) and SAO77293 (lower) are given by the small white circles in the channel maps. A twisted-plane background has been subtracted from each channel for presentation purposes. The greyscale runs from -2.3 (white) to 9.3 K (black) in (a), -14.8 to 21.2 K in (b), -23.0 to 32.3 in (c), -28.2 to 34.3 in (d), -13.4 to 21.8 in (e), -4.5 to 9.5 in (f), -1.8 to 5.4 in (g), and -1.4 to 2.2 in (h). Contours appear approximately every 0.7 K in (a), 2.0 K in (b), 3.1 K in (c), 3.5 K in (d), 2.0 K in (e), 0.8 K in (f), 0.4 K in (g), and 0.2 K in (h).

Fig. 3.— The DRAO H I data for region around the Crab Nebula are shown in greyscale; the limits of the greyscales are different for each page and are given below. The Crab Nebula is located in the center of the upper field, at the center of the artefacts. The greyscales run from -27.5 (white) to 24.5 K (black) in figure (a), and -26.2 to 25.6 K in figure (b).

Fig. 4.— The absorption spectrum (dashed line) of, and an emission spectrum (solid line) towards, the Crab nebula. The scale for the emission spectrum is on the left-hand axis, while the scale for the absorption spectrum is found on the right. Details of the spectra can be found in the text.

Table 1. Effelsberg Observation Parameters

Field Center	RA(J2000)	$05^h 37^m 35.55^s$
	Dec(J2000)	$21^\circ 47' 30''$
Field Size		$4.5^\circ \times 4.5^\circ$
Scan Length		4.5°
Scan Separation		$4.5'$
Spatial Resolution		$9.4' \times 9.4'$
Central Velocity		-10 km/s
Total Bandwidth		1.5625 MHz
Velocity Resolution		0.644 km/s
Integration Time		30s/30s (on/off frequency)

Table 2. DRAO Observation Parameters

Field Center	RA(J2000)	$05^h 37^m 19^s$
	Dec(J2000)	$21^\circ 00' 00''$
Field Center	RA(J2000)	$05^h 34^m 30^s$
	Dec(J2000)	$22^\circ 01' 00''$
Field Size (to 10%)		3.1°
Spatial Resolution		$1.0' \times 2.75'$
Central Velocity		-12 km/s
Total Bandwidth		0.5 MHz
Velocity Resolution		1.3 km/s
Channel Separation		0.824 km/s

Table 3. Observed characteristics of features and objects

Name	Center RA (J2000)	Center Dec (J2000)	Maximum size	Velocity range (km/s)	Distance (kpc)
Bubble 1	$5^h 36^m$	$20^\circ 53'$	6.4°	$(9.2, -16)$	
Bubble 2	$5^h 37^m 36^s$	$21^\circ 47' 44''$	3.8°	$(5.8, -17.2)$	
Bubble 3	$5^h 35^m 48^s$	$21^\circ 52' 50''$	$50' - 75'$	$(-6, -25)$	
Crab Nebula	$5^h 34^m 29.8^s$	$22^\circ 1'$	$7' \times 5'$	$(1, -7)$	1.5-2.3
SAO77293	$5^h 35^m 39.8^s$	$21^\circ 23' 58.0''$	–	$< -11 \pm 3$	~ 1.8

This figure "fig1.gif" is available in "gif" format from:

<http://arXiv.org/ps/astro-ph/9903416v1>

This figure "fig2a.gif" is available in "gif" format from:

<http://arXiv.org/ps/astro-ph/9903416v1>

This figure "fig2b.gif" is available in "gif" format from:

<http://arXiv.org/ps/astro-ph/9903416v1>

This figure "fig2c.gif" is available in "gif" format from:

<http://arXiv.org/ps/astro-ph/9903416v1>

This figure "fig2d.gif" is available in "gif" format from:

<http://arXiv.org/ps/astro-ph/9903416v1>

This figure "fig2e.gif" is available in "gif" format from:

<http://arXiv.org/ps/astro-ph/9903416v1>

This figure "fig2f.gif" is available in "gif" format from:

<http://arXiv.org/ps/astro-ph/9903416v1>

This figure "fig2g.gif" is available in "gif" format from:

<http://arXiv.org/ps/astro-ph/9903416v1>

This figure "fig2h.gif" is available in "gif" format from:

<http://arXiv.org/ps/astro-ph/9903416v1>

This figure "fig3a.gif" is available in "gif" format from:

<http://arXiv.org/ps/astro-ph/9903416v1>

This figure "fig3b.gif" is available in "gif" format from:

<http://arXiv.org/ps/astro-ph/9903416v1>

